

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR
2
3
4
5
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 WARREN E. BELL,

11 Plaintiff,

v.

12 DAVID OLSON, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

CASE NO. C21-0781-JCC

ORDER

14
15 Having reviewed the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of the Honorable S. Kate
16 Vaughan, United States Magistrate Judge, to which no timely objection¹ has been made, and the
17 remaining record, the Court ORDERS as follows:


18 (1) The Court APPROVES and ADOPTS the R&R (Dkt. No. 49).

19 (2) Defendant Autumn Kostelecky’s Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 28) is
20 GRANTED and Plaintiff’s claims against Ms. Kostelecky are DISMISSED with prejudice.

21 (3) The Clerk is DIRECTED to send copies of this Order to the parties and to Judge
22 Vaughan.
23
24

25 ¹ Plaintiff lodged an impermissible Rule 72(a) objection (Dkt. No. 54) to Judge
26 Vaughan’s order denying his motion to amend his complaint (Dkt. No. 46). In its electronic
filing system, the Court initially coded this filing as an objection to the R&R (Dkt. No. 49). But
a closer review revealed that the objection is to Judge Vaughan’s earlier ruling (Dkt. No. 46).

1
2
3
4
5 DATED this 30th day of March 2022.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26


John C. Coughenour

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE